

Design Forum

DESIGN FORUM papers range from design case studies to presentations of new methodologies to speculations about emerging design trends. They vary from 2500 to 12,000 words (where a figure or table counts as 200 words). Following informal review by the Editors, they may be published within a few months of the date of receipt. Style requirements are the same as for regular contributions (see inside back cover).

Design and Development of the HondaJet

Michimasa Fujino*

Honda R&D Americas, Inc., Greensboro, North Carolina 27409

The HondaJet is an advanced, lightweight, business jet featuring an extra large cabin, high fuel efficiency, and high cruise speed compared to existing small business jets. To achieve the high-performance goals, an over-the-wing engine-mount configuration, a natural-lamina-flow wing, and a natural-laminar-flow fuselage nose were developed through extensive analyses and wind-tunnel tests. The wing is metal, having an integral, machined skin to achieve the smooth upper surface required for natural laminar flow. The fuselage is constructed entirely of composites; the stiffened panels and the sandwich panels are cocured integrally in an autoclave to reduce weight and cost. The prototype aircraft has been designed and fabricated. Major ground tests such as structural proof tests, control-system proof test, system function tests, and ground-vibration tests have been completed. The first flight was conducted on 3 December 2003, and flight testing is currently underway. The aerodynamic, aeroelastic, structural, and systems designs and tests conducted during the development are described

Introduction

THE business jet is becoming a common tool for business people. In particular, the small business jet that is more efficient in operation is expected to become more popular. Market surveys and focus-group interviews, conducted in five major cities in the United States, show that demand for comfort, in particular, a large cabin, as well as high fuel efficiency are critical to the success of small business-jet development. The HondaJet (Fig. 1) is designed to satisfy these needs.

A unique configuration, called an over-the-wing engine-mount configuration (OTWEM), was developed to provide a larger space in the fuselage than that of conventional configurations. By mounting the engines on the wing, the carry-through structure required to mount the engines on the rear fuselage is eliminated, which allows the fuselage internal space to be maximized. It was a technical challenge to employ an over-the-wing engine-mount configuration for a high-speed aircraft from both aerodynamic and aeroelastic standpoints. Extensive analytical and experimental studies, however, show that an over-the-wing engine-mount configuration reduces the wave drag at high speeds and achieves higher cruise efficiency when the nacelles are located at the optimum position.¹

To reduce drag and thereby achieve higher fuel efficiency, a new natural-laminar-flow (NLF) wing² and a natural-laminar-flow fuselage nose were developed through theoretical and experimental studies. By employing these advanced technologies, the specific range of the HondaJet is far greater than that of existing small jets.

To achieve natural laminar flow on the wing, surface waviness as well as steps and gaps in the wing structure must be minimized. Ap-

propriate criteria were derived from flight tests. The upper skin is a machine-milled, integral panel that maintains the contour necessary for the achievement of laminar flow. The actual wing structure was tested in the wind tunnel to confirm that laminar flow is achieved on the actual wing surface.

To reduce weight and manufacturing costs, an advanced composite structure is used for the fuselage, consisting of a combination of honeycomb sandwich structure and stiffened panels.

This paper describes the design, ground tests, and flight test of the HondaJet with particular emphasis on these advanced technologies.

General Arrangement and Performance

The general arrangement is shown in Fig. 2. The aircraft is powered by two Honda HF-118 fuel-efficient turbofan engines, each rated at 1670 lb thrust at takeoff power. The engine is controlled by the Full Authority Digital Engine Control system. The aircraft is a low-wing configuration with the engines mounted over the wing. The aircraft is 41.14 ft (12.5 m) long, has a wing span of 39.87 ft (12.2 m), and is 13.21 ft (4.1 m) high at the top of the T-tail. Design maximum takeoff weight is about 9200 lb (4173 kg). The estimated maximum speed is about 420 kn at 30,000 ft (9144 m), and the maximum range is about 1100 n miles (2037 km). The aircraft provides a large cabin volume compared to those of other four-passenger seat arrangements. The cabin is pressurized up to 8.7 psi (60 kPa) to maintain an 8000-ft (243 m) cabin altitude up to 44,000 ft (13411 m).

Aerodynamic Design

Over-the-Wing Engine-Mount Configuration

Engine location was the major design decision in the development of the HondaJet configuration. In general, locating the engine nacelles over the wing causes unfavorable aerodynamic interference and induces a strong shock wave that results in a lower drag-divergence Mach number. Theoretical studies were conducted using a three-dimensional Euler solver^{3,4} to investigate this configuration (Fig. 3). A transonic wind-tunnel test was conducted in the Boeing Transonic Wind Tunnel to validate the theoretical predictions. It was found that the shock wave is minimized and drag divergence occurs at a Mach number higher than that for the clean-wing

Presented as Paper 2003-2530 at the International Air & Space Symposium and Exposition The Next 100 Years; Dayton, OH, 14–17 July 2003; received 20 August 2004; revision received 4 February 2005; accepted for publication 1 February 2005. Copyright © 2004 by the Institute of Aeroelasticity of the German Aerospace Center DLR. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0021-8669/05 \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

*Chief Engineer, 6423B Bryan Boulevard; mfujino@oh.har.com; fujinox@alles.or.jp. Member AIAA.



Fig. 1 HondaJet.




Fig. 2 General arrangement.




Fig. 3 Off-body pressure contour of the OTWEM configuration.

configuration when the nacelle is located at the optimum position relative to the wing. The over-the-wing engine-mount configuration exhibits lower drag than does the conventional rear-fuselage engine-mount configuration¹ (Fig. 4). The final aircraft configuration is based on this result. By employing this optimum over-the-wing engine-mount configuration, the cruise efficiency is higher than that of a conventional rear-fuselage engine-nacelle configuration, and, in addition, the cabin volume is maximized.

Wing

The main goal for the aerodynamic design of the wing is to achieve minimum drag while maintaining good stall characteristics. Detailed design studies were performed to minimize the induced drag with minimum wing weight. The study showed that the takeoff weight is minimized for a 1100-n mile-range aircraft when the wing geometric aspect ratio is 8.5 and a winglet having a height of 9% of the wing




Fig. 4 Comparison of drag coefficients.




Fig. 5 Stall pattern.

span is installed. Because of the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration, the stall characteristics were carefully studied by theoretical analysis and low-speed wind-tunnel tests. From the theoretical analysis using a vortex-lattice method combined with a critical-section method and a three-dimensional, panel method^{5,6} combined with the pressure-difference rule,⁷ a taper ratio of 0.38 and a washout of 5.1 deg were chosen to provide good stall characteristics with minimum induced drag penalty. The stall pattern of the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration obtained from a 1/6-scale, low-speed wind-tunnel test is shown in Fig. 5. The wing stalls first around 55% semispan. The separation propagates inboard, although the root region of the wing between the fuselage and the nacelle is not stalled at the aircraft stall angle of attack. Thus, the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration exhibits good stall characteristics. In addition, there is adequate stall margin over the outboard portion of the wing. The lift curves obtained from the 1/6-scale test with and without nacelles are shown in Fig. 6. The zero-lift angle of the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration is about 1.2 deg higher than that of the clean-wing configuration. The maximum lift coefficient of the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration is about 0.07 higher than that of the clean-wing configuration. Thus, there is no disadvantage with respect to the lift characteristics because of the nacelle installation over the wing.

To satisfy the requirements of the HondaJet, a new natural-laminar-flow airfoil, the SHM-1, was designed using a conformal-mapping method.⁸ The pressure gradient on the upper surface is favorable to about 42% chord, followed by a concave pressure recovery, which represents a compromise between maximum lift, pitching moment, and drag divergence. The pressure gradient along the lower surface is favorable to about 63% chord to reduce drag. The leading-edge geometry was designed to cause transition near the leading edge at high angles of attack to minimize the loss in maximum lift coefficient caused by roughness. The upper-surface trailing-edge geometry was designed to produce a steep pressure gradient and, thereby, induce a small separation. By




Fig. 6 Comparison of lift curve with and without nacelle configuration.




Fig. 7 SHM-1 airfoil shape and pressure distribution.

the incorporation of this new trailing-edge design, the magnitude of the pitching moment at high speeds is greatly reduced.² The SHM-1 airfoil and an example of the pressure distribution are shown in Fig. 7. The airfoil has been tested in low-speed and transonic wind tunnels. In addition, a flight test using a gloved T-33 aircraft was conducted to validate the performance of the airfoil at full-scale Reynolds number and Mach number. The airfoil exhibits a high maximum lift coefficient with docile stall characteristics and low profile-drag coefficients in cruise and climb.

Engine Simulator Test for OTWEM Configuration

It is important to investigate the inlet-flow distortion at high angles of attack especially for the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration. To evaluate these characteristics, a 1/6-scale, powered-model test using dc motor engine simulators was conducted in the Honda Low-Speed Wind Tunnel. The inlet and duct shape were scaled from the full-scale nacelles and 40 total-pressure probes were mounted at the fan face (Fig. 8). An investigation was conducted to determine if the measured total-pressure distortion exceeded the limits for high and low mass-flow conditions at various angles of attack and sideslip angles. Examples of the distortion pressure patterns at four angles of attack (10, 15, 18, and 26 deg) and three sideslip angles (−18, 0, and 18 deg) with a mass-flow ratio of 1.15, which corresponds to approach speed at required thrust, are shown in Fig. 9. The inlet total-pressure distortion is less than 0.1% up to the stall angle of attack of 15 deg and less than 2% up to a poststall angle of attack of 26 deg. Similar tendencies were obtained from tests with mass-flow ratios of 0.65 and 2.15. The results demonstrate that the distortion does not exceed the limits specified by engine requirements within the flight envelope.




Fig. 8 1/6-scale engine simulator.




Fig. 9 Pressure distribution pattern obtained from powered model test.




Fig. 10 NLF nose flow pattern.

Natural-Laminar-Flow Fuselage Nose

A natural-laminar-flow, fuselage-nose shape was developed through extensive analysis and experiments to reduce the fuselage drag. Using a three-dimensional, panel code with an integral boundary-layer method,^{5,6} the fuselage-nose contours were designed to maximize laminar-flow length by maintaining a favorable pressure gradient and minimizing crossflow instabilities. A 1/3-scale test was conducted in the Honda Low-Speed Wind Tunnel to validate the design. The streamlines on the nose were visualized using the oil-flow technique (Fig. 10), and the observed patterns were compared to those from the theoretical analysis.^{5,6} The infrared technique was also used to visualize the laminar flow on the nose at each angle of attack (Fig. 11). The results show that extensive laminar flow is




Fig. 11 Drag reduction of NLF nose.




Fig. 12 Experimental and theoretical pressure distribution of flap.

achieved at climb and cruise angles of attack. Because steps and gaps have a detrimental effect on the achievement of laminar flow (e.g., Ref. 9), various steps and gaps were installed on the nose to determine the critical dimensions. By employing a natural-laminar-flow nose, the fuselage drag is reduced about 10% compared to that of a turbulent-flow nose fuselage.

High-Lift System

A 30%-chord, double-slotted flap, which is deployed by a mechanical linkage, is employed to satisfy the stall-speed requirement. The position of the vane with respect to the flap is fixed. The shapes of the vane and the flap as well as the gap and overlap were designed using a two-dimensional, multi-element, panel code (MCARFA¹⁰) and a two-dimensional, multi-element, Euler code (MSES¹¹). The flap and vane shapes and positions were then tested on a 1/3-scale, half-span model in the Honda Low-Speed Wind Tunnel, and the results were compared with those from analysis (Fig. 12) using a three-dimensional panel code.^{5,6} A test was also conducted using a 1/6-scale model in the Honda Low-Speed Wind Tunnel. Examples of the lift curves obtained from the 1/3-scale and 1/6-scale tests are shown in Fig. 13. The results for two Reynolds numbers allowed the full-scale maximum lift coefficient to be estimated more accurately using an analytical method that incorporated the pressure-difference rule.⁷ The maximum lift coefficient for the full-scale Reynolds number is estimated to be higher than 2.5, which satisfies the stall-speed requirement.

Wind-Tunnel Test

Low-speed wind-tunnel tests were conducted to obtain the aerodynamic characteristics of the aircraft. Two different wind tunnels, the Honda Low-Speed Wind Tunnel and the University of Washington low-speed wind tunnel, were used. The stability derivatives obtained from these tests were used to evaluate the flight characteristics. The tests were conducted to very high angles of attack to obtain the poststall aerodynamic characteristics of the aircraft, which are critical for a T-tail configuration.






Fig. 13 Lift curves with high lift device.



a) Time history of the aircraft AOA and δ_e .



b) Time history of the riser tension.

Fig. 14 Deep-stall simulation with spin-chute development.

Flight Simulator

To evaluate the flying qualities of the aircraft, a flight simulator, called the Honda Nonlinear Aerodynamics Flight Simulator (HNAFS), was developed. The simulator solves the six-degrees-of-freedom equations of motion in real time. The stability derivatives for the equations of motion were interpolated for each angle of attack, sideslip angle, control-surface deflection, etc., from an aerodynamic database developed from the wind-tunnel test results. By interpolating within the database, the HNAFS accurately simulates not only normal flight conditions but also critical flight conditions such as deep stall, spin, and one-engine out. A special feature of the HNAFS is the dynamic spin-chute model, including the inflation process, which was developed by the author. The deep-stall characteristics, which are especially critical for a T-tail aircraft (e.g., Ref. 12), were carefully evaluated using the HNAFS. An example of a deep-stall recovery simulation using a spin chute, which will be installed for the initial stall tests, is shown in Fig. 14. The time

histories of the aircraft angle of attack and the elevator deflection are shown in Fig. 14a and the time history of the riser tension, in Fig. 14b. This simulation shows that the aircraft can be recovered from deep stall with a spin chute under emergency conditions. The simulation results were also used to design the support structure for the spin chute.

Aeroelasticity

The flutter characteristics of the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration were investigated through extensive theoretical studies and wind-tunnel tests. The location of the engine mass and the stiffness of the pylon relative to that of the wing are important for wing-flutter characteristics. Theoretical analyses using the ERIN code¹³ and NASTRAN were performed. Low-speed and transonic wind-tunnel flutter tests (at the National Aeronautical Laboratory Transonic Flutter Wind Tunnel) were then conducted to validate the design. The study shows that the symmetric flutter mode is more critical than the antisymmetric mode for the over-the-wing engine-mount configuration.¹³

Also the effects of the aerodynamic load and the interference caused by the engine-nacelle installation over the wing were studied. The result showed that the effects are small for this over-the-wing engine-mount configuration. In addition, the engine-eylon vibration characteristics influence the flutter characteristics. The study showed that the flutter speed is highest when the engine-eylon side-bending frequency is close to the uncouple first wing-torsion frequency (about 0.9 to 1.0 times the uncouple first wing-torsion frequency) and the flutter speed is lowest when the engine-eylon pitching frequency is about 1.25 times the uncouple first wing-bending frequency (Fig. 15).¹³ Based on these results, the wing stiffness and mass distributions were designed to satisfy the flutter-clearance requirements.

Structure

Wing

The wing is metal and constructed in three sections: the left outboard wing, the center section, and the right outboard wing (Fig. 16). The torque box contains three spars, the ribs, and the skin with integrated stringers forming an integral fuel tank. The upper skin is a machined, integral panel to maintain the contour required by laminar flow. By using integral, machined panels, the material can be distributed in the most efficient manner, and the number of parts is minimized. The leading-edge structure and the main torque-box structure are mated at about 13.5% chord to reduce the disturbance to the laminar flow. The leading edge is equipped with an anti-ice system that uses engine bleed air ejected through a piccolo tube that directs the hot air against the inside of the leading-edge skin. The pylon structure is attached to a reinforced wing rib by four bolts. The main landing gear is also attached to the inboard end of the same reinforced rib to concentrate the heavy loads in one reinforced




Fig. 15 Flutter characteristics of OTWEM configuration.




Fig. 16 Wing structure.




Fig. 17 Fuselage structure.

structure. The wing is mounted under the fuselage by four links and two thrust rods. The vertical loads are transmitted to the front- and rear-fuselage main frames by the links and the lateral loads are transmitted by the V-shaped links. The drag loads are transmitted to the fuselage by the two thrust rods.

Fuselage

The fuselage is constructed entirely of graphite composites. The material is a 350°F cure epoxy prepreg reinforced by carbon fiber. (The matrix is Cytec 5276-1 high-damage-tolerance, epoxy resin and the reinforcement is TOHO G30-500 high-strength, intermediate-modulus fiber.) As shown in Fig. 17, the cockpit as well as the tail section is a honeycomb sandwich construction to maintain the compound curves, which are especially important for the laminar-flow nose. An integrally stiffened panel structure is employed for the constant cross-section portion of the cabin, which maximizes the cabin volume.¹⁴ The frames and stringers have identical dimensions in the constant cabin section so that the number of molds for the frames and stringers are minimized. The constant fuselage section can be easily extended to satisfy future fuselage stretching. A feature of the fuselage fabrication is that the sandwich panel and the stiffened panel are cocured integrally in an autoclave to reduce weight and cost. It was a technical challenge to cure the honeycomb sandwich structure under the pressure (85.3 psi) required for the stiffened panel, but a new method called the "picture-frame stabilizing method" prevents core crushing.

The aircraft employs compound-curved windshields to obtain better aerodynamic characteristics. The windshields are two plies (outer and inner) of stretched acrylic material with a polyurethane interlayer, which has superior low-temperature ductility, a higher allowable operating temperature, and higher adhesive properties. The outer surface of the outer acrylic ply and the inner surface of the inner acrylic ply are hard coated for abrasion and chemical resistance. The windshield is electrically heated for anti-ice protection. The windshield and its support structure were designed to withstand the impact of a 4-lb bird strike at Vc (structural design speed) at sea level.

Empennage

The empennage is a T-tail configuration. The horizontal tail is a conventional, two-spar, aluminum structure. The fin is also a conventional, two-spar structure. The front spar of the fin is, however, joined to the fuselage by a pin support and transmits only the forward, vertical, and lateral loads to the fuselage. The rear spar is cantilever mounted to the rear-fuselage canted frame and transmits all bending moments to the fuselage. The fin first torsion frequency is very critical for the T-tail flutter mode, and, therefore, relatively heavy-gauge skin (0.04 in.) was employed to provide adequate torsional stiffness. Shear buckling is not allowed up to the limit-load condition to prevent torsional-stiffness reduction.

Systems

Landing Gear

The landing gear is a typical tricycle-type layout with a steerable nose wheel.

The nose gear is of the shock-absorber strut type. It is retracted forward by a drag-brace actuator (Fig. 18). The doors are mechanically linked and open and close automatically with nose-gear movement. The drag-brace actuator has an internal downlock mechanism. The nose gear is equipped with a steer-by-wire system that is electrically controlled and hydraulically actuated. There are two modes for the steering system: parking mode, in which the steering-angle range is ± 50 deg, and normal mode, in which the steering angle is limited to ± 10 deg. Accumulator pressure provides emergency steering control should the hydraulic pump fail.

The main landing gear is of the trailing-link type and attached to the main wing (Fig. 19). A side-brace actuator is used to extend and retract the landing gear. The landing-gear doors consist of three

separate doors: inboard, middle, and outboard. The inboard door is operated by an independent hydraulic actuator, and the middle and outboard doors are linked to the main-gear strut and open and close automatically with main-gear movement. The wheel well is completely covered by the doors, and, therefore, the tires are not exposed during cruise, thus reducing drag. The side-brace actuator is equipped with an internal downlock mechanism. Under emergency conditions, opening a dump valve and releasing the up-lock mechanism by manual cable allow the free-fall extension of the gear.

The main gear is equipped with an antilock braking system. A dynamometer test was conducted to optimize the antilock system control. Locked-wheel protection, touch-down protection, and spin-up override are also incorporated into the braking system.

Drop tests were conducted and the shock-absorption characteristics evaluated. The main landing gear and the nose gear were dropped with a weight simulating the aircraft weight (Fig. 20). The results showed that the efficiency of the oleo shock satisfies the requirement.

Flaps

A linkage mechanism is used for the flap system. The flap system has two positions: 15.7 deg down for takeoff and 50 deg down for landing, as shown in Fig. 21. The flap is deployed by two hydraulic actuators: one for the right wing and the other for the left, each installed at the wing root. The right and left flaps are mechanically interconnected to prevent a split condition.

A flap-mechanism fatigue test as well as a strength test were conducted. Simulated airloads were applied by a whiffle tree pulled by a hydraulic actuator. For the fatigue test, the hydraulic actuator




Fig. 18 Nose landing gear.




Fig. 19 Main landing gear.




Fig. 20 Main landing-gear drop test.




Fig. 21 Flap linkage mechanism.

moves with flap movement such that the loads are continuously applied as in the flight condition. The flap mechanism satisfies the strength and fatigue-cycle requirements determined for the flight-test program.

Fuel

There are four fuel tanks in the aircraft: a right-wing integral tank, a left-wing integral tank, a carry-through tank, and a rear-fuselage bladder tank, as shown in Fig. 22. The aircraft is refueled from a




Fig. 22 Fuel system.



Fig. 23 Cockpit.

single point located on the right side of the rear fuselage. The fuel is transferred from the carry-through tank to the right- and left-wing integral tanks by transfer pumps located in the carry-through tank. The right-wing tank feeds the right engine, and the left-wing tank feeds the left engine through collector tanks located under each pylon. The primary pumps are ejector-type units, and electric boost pumps are used to provide fuel pressure for engine starting and cross feed. The Fuel Transfer Management Unit maintains the fuel level in the wing, which relieves the average wing-root bending moment as much as 12%. An automatic cross-feed function is incorporated to correct fuel imbalance between the left and right wings. A total of 13 capacitance-type fuel probes—three in the carry-through tank, two in the bladder tank, and four in each wing tank—are provided. The fuel quantity can be measured within an accuracy of 2% of the indicated fuel quantity plus 1% of full scale during sustained flight conditions anywhere in the normal flight envelope.

Avionics

The aircraft employs all-glass flightdeck, which is a modular design having open architecture. The cockpit is shown in Fig. 23. All information—from flight and engine instrumentation to navigation, communication, terrain and traffic data, etc.—is uniquely integrated and digitally presented on the dual, large-format, high-resolution primary flight displays (PFD) and the multifunction display (MFD). The PFD contains the airspeed indicator, vertical-speed indicator, adjustable altimeter, direction indicator, pitch and bank indicator (artificial horizon), slip/skid indicator, dual navigation/communication, etc., and the MFD contains the engine indication display, fuel flow, fuel quantity, generator current, global-positioning-system map, etc. This cockpit configuration provides a high degree of integration for enhanced situational awareness, functionality, ease of operation, redundancy, and flight safety.

Ground Tests

Structural Proof Test for Wing and Fuselage Structures

Proof tests were conducted to substantiate the structural design of the wing and fuselage. The MTS Aero-90 test system (Fig. 24) was used to apply loads. A total of 26 computer-controlled actuators were used to apply the simulated airloads, engine loads, and landing-gear loads (Fig. 25). More than 600 channels of data (e.g., strain, displacement, and force) were measured and monitored at each test condition. A total of 10 load cases out of 870 were evaluated for the




Fig. 24 MTS Aero-90 system.

wing proof test (pylon vertical load, pylon side load, flap load, V_c positive gust, V_c negative gust, right-hand and left-hand one-gear landing conditions, level landing with spin-up load, side landing, and rudder maneuver). A total of six load cases out of 1275 were evaluated for the fuselage test (pressurization load, positive-gust load, positive-gust load with pressurization, rudder-maneuver load, rudder-maneuver load with pressurization, and two-point landing load). Because the structures used for these tests were also used for the flight-test program, the test loads were limited to 80% of the limit loads. The measured strain, displacement, and reaction-force data were compared with those from a finite element analysis, and the results were used to evaluate the limit-load condition.



Fig. 25 Wing proof test.



Fig. 26 Ground-vibration test.

Control-System Proof Test

The HondaJet has dual flight controls with column-mounted control wheels and adjustable rudder pedals. A combination of cable and push-pull rod mechanisms is used to actuate the elevator system, and a cable mechanism is used for the rudder and aileron systems. A proof test was conducted to validate the elevator, rudder, and aileron control-system designs. Control-system deflection under the limit load and breakout force were also measured. Loads were applied to the control surface by a hydraulic actuator, and the control column or the rudder pedal was fixed by another actuator. Control-system stiffness and friction force were evaluated, and the system mechanism was adjusted to satisfy the requirement.

Ground-Vibration Test

A ground-vibration test was conducted to measure the vibration modes of the entire aircraft and to establish the correlation with those from the finite element vibration analysis. The aircraft was excited by six electrodynamic shakers, which were attached to the aircraft by flexible rods. The aircraft was placed on specially designed air springs, which decouple the rigid mode of the aircraft. The structural responses were measured by a total of 383 piezoelectric accelerometers attached to the aircraft (Fig. 26). The ground-vibration system is shown in Fig. 27. The maximum entropy method (MEM) as well as fast-Fourier-transform (FFT) method was used to identify the modal parameters. The advantage of the MEM is that it provides higher frequency resolution with smaller frame size compared to classical FFT methods because the frame size and the number of spectra lines are independent of each other. The finite element model was tuned by using the frequencies and mode shapes measured from the ground-vibration test to accurately determine the aeroelastic characteristics of the aircraft.

Taxi Test

Taxi tests were conducted to evaluate braking and steering performance. It is important to evaluate the heat-sink capacity of the braking system because a very large amount of heat is generated during braking. An example of the brake-temperature measurement for each braking speed is shown in Fig. 28. The temperature is close to the estimates, and the result validates the brake heat-sink capacity. The shimmy characteristics were also evaluated, and the measured damping satisfies the requirement.

The acceleration and stopping distances were measured and compared to those from analysis. An example of acceleration and stopping-distance measurement is shown in Fig. 29. The brake pressures applied for these tests were from 60 to 100% of the maximum




Fig. 27 Block diagram of GVT system.

pressure. The measured distances fall within the range of analyses for friction coefficients from 0.30 to 0.40.

Flight Test

After the ground tests were completed, the first flight was performed on 3 December 2003, at the Piedmont Triad International Airport in North Carolina. The flight-test program began in January 2004.

The prototype is fully instrumented with a data-acquisition system and a telemetry system to maximize the efficiency of the flight-test program (Fig. 30). More than 200 sensors, which measure air data, attitude, acceleration, control-surface deflection, and control force, etc., are installed on the aircraft, and all data are transmitted to the ground. The data are analyzed in real time on the ground.¹⁵

In phase one of the flight-test program, in-flight system-function tests such as landing-gear and flap operation were conducted. The tests were performed under different flight conditions (e.g., airspeed,

sideslip angle, etc.), and the function was confirmed. Emergency gear operation was also conducted to validate the extension of the landing gear simulating electrical- and hydraulic-system failure.

In phase two, stability-and-control and performance tests were conducted. Static and dynamic stability, such as short-period, phugoid, and dutch-roll modes, were evaluated by measuring the undamped natural frequencies and damping ratios at various flight conditions. An example of the short-period test results is shown in Fig. 31. To evaluate the cruise performance, the speed-power method was used. Tests were conducted to determine the drag, fuel flow, and range for various airspeeds, altitudes, and weights. An example of cruise performance is shown in Fig. 32. A sawtooth test, in which a series of timed climbs is made over an altitude band bracketing the selected pressure altitude, was used to determine the climb performance. The results were compared to analytical estimates, and good agreement was found.




Fig. 28 Brake disk temperature rise vs taxi speed.




Fig. 29 Accelerate-stop distance.




Fig. 31 Short period.




Fig. 32 Mach number.




Fig. 30 Telemetry block diagram.

Conclusions

Honda R&D is developing an advanced, lightweight, business jet. The challenge of employing an unconventional configuration—an over-the-wing engine-mount configuration with natural-laminar-flow wing and fuselage nose, composite fuselage, etc.—has been met. Extensive analyses and ground tests have been conducted to validate the design. Flight tests are being performed and show good agreement with estimates.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Honda R&D for permission to publish this paper and my colleagues for their invaluable assistance. The author also wishes to gratefully acknowledge the cooperation of GARMIN International in the development of the avionics system and Sumitomo Precision Products in the landing-gear development. Finally, the author would like to thank Atlantic Aero for their cooperation in this research.

References

- ¹Fujino, M., and Kawamura, Y., "Wave-Drag Characteristics of an over-the-Wing Nacelle Business-Jet Configuration," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 40, No. 6, 2003, pp. 1177–1184.
- ²Fujino, M., et al., "Natural-Laminar-Airfoil Development for a Lightweight Business Jet," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 40, No. 4, 2003, pp. 609–615.
- ³Strash, D. J., and Tidd, D. M., *MGAERO User's Manual*, Analytical Methods, Inc., Redmond, WA, 2002.
- ⁴Tidd, D. M., et al., "Application of an Efficient 3-D Multi-Grid Euler Method to Complete Aircraft Configurations," AIAA Paper 91-3236, Sept. 1991.
- ⁵Maskew, B., "Prediction of Subsonic Aerodynamic Characteristics: A Case for Low-Order Panel Methods," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1982, pp. 157–163.
- ⁶Nathman, J. K., *VSAERO User's Manual Version 6.3*, Analytical Methods, Inc., Redmond, WA, June 2001.
- ⁷Valarezo, W. O., and Chin, V. D., "Maximum Lift Prediction for Multi-element Wings," AIAA Paper 92-0401, Jan. 1992.
- ⁸Eppler, R., *Airfoil Design and Data*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- ⁹Holmes, B. J., Obara, C. J., Martin, G. L., and Domack, C. S., "Manufacturing Tolerances for Natural Laminar Flow Airframe Surfaces," Society of Automotive Engineers, 850863, April 1985.
- ¹⁰Morgan, H. L., "High-Lift Flaps for Natural Laminar Flow Airfoils," *Laminar Flow Aircraft Certification*, NASA CP-2413, 1986, pp. 31–65.
- ¹¹Drela, M., "Design and Optimization Method for Multi-Element Airfoils," AIAA Paper 93-0969, Feb. 1993.
- ¹²Fujino, M., "Aerodynamic and Aeroelastic Design of Experimental Aircraft MH02," *Proceedings of the 1994 AIAA/FAA Joint Symposium on General Aviation Systems*, May 1994, pp. 435–459.
- ¹³Fujino, M., Oyama, H., and Omotani, H., "Flutter Characteristics of an over-the-Wing Engine Mount Business-Jet Configuration," AIAA Paper 2003-1942, April 2003.
- ¹⁴Matsui, N., and Sato, K., "Research Work of the All-Composite Fuselage," *14th International Conference on Composite Materials*, July 2003.
- ¹⁵Fujino, M., Mahiko, K., Hosono, K., and Yoshizaki, Y., "Flight Test of the HondaJet," *Proceedings of the 24th Congress of the International Council of the Aeronautical Sciences*, ICAS 2004-4.10.1, Aug.–Sept. 2004.